

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services Office of Probation and Correctional Alternatives

2019-2020 Annual Probation Plan Data

Responses

July 2019

Submission is due August 14, 2019

Please submit to:

dcjsapplications2019@dcjs.ny.gov

Submitting Probation Department:

Submission Date:

Table of Contents

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
Introduction	1
Section A: Local Juvenile/Criminal Justice Data Review and Planning	3
Section B: Local Program Inventory	29
Section C: Local Probation Training	39
Section D: Juvenile Services	46
Section E: Focal Issues	50
Section F: Crime Victim Services	55

Introduction

The 2019-20 Annual Probation Plan and Application presents an opportunity for Probation leaders to assess their department operations, staffing, training needs, and community resources.

As part of the 2019-2020 planning process, Probation Departments will again review and consider important juvenile and criminal justice data in order to better plan and coordinate interventions, the effective use of local and state criminal justice resources, and funding with the goal of reducing offender recidivism, effectuating offender behavioral change, reducing unnecessary reliance on incarceration, and reducing victimization through the use of evidence-based practices.

Additionally, this information will be utilized to inform training and policy at the state level. It is the goal of OPCA to compile the information that is provided and return it to the counties.

ALL OF THE QUESTIONS IN THIS APPLICATION MUST BE ANSWERED IN THEIR ENTIRETY AND SUBMITTED TOGETHER.

Instructions

- 1) Section A: Local Juvenile/Criminal Justice Data Review and Planning This section will provide for a self-review of each jurisdiction's data, and inform the jurisdiction's 2019-20 criminal/juvenile justice strategy development and implementation as described in that section. Please refer to the data package which accompanies this plan/application when completing this section. Last year's data package is hyperlinked in Section A for your reference. All tables and questions in this section must be completed. Increasingly, many jurisdictions are being asked to develop annual goals and objectives by their County Executives. This data may be useful to demonstrate the positive impact of the Probation Department as well as assist the Probation Department with seeking additional resources to address identified problem areas.
- 2) Section B: Local Program Inventory This inventory consists of two parts. The first part is the identification of programs that were available during 2018. The second part is the completion of the programming to be maintained, expanded, eliminated, or developed during 2019-20 based on the data and questions addressed in Section B, as well as the availability of resources. It is intended that this program inventory will enable each locality to determine whether or not appropriate services are available to support its' identified juvenile and criminal justice 2019-20 strategy.
- 3) Section C: Local Probation Training Inventory This section identifies the specific types of training probation departments have attended in the prior year and the areas in which training is needed in 2019-20 in order to support the locality's juvenile and criminal justice strategies. This process will enable localities to pursue and consolidate training opportunities where appropriate. Attachment A, which lists current staff members who have received training in the NIC *Thinking For a Change* Curriculum, the *Offender Workforce Development Specialist Program*, Motivational Interviewing, and other cognitive-behavioral intervention programs should also be completed and sent as part of your plan. This information will inform DCJS/OPCA's annual training program, and the Statewide Probation Training Committee, and be used to develop a statewide database training portfolio for each probation officer.
- 4) <u>Section D: Juvenile Services</u> This section identifies the use of the state approved assessments within departments.
- 5) <u>Section E: Focal Issues</u> This section captures data and information regarding important issues regarding the probation profession.
- 6) <u>Section F: Crime Victim Services</u> This section captures information regarding services to victims as part of the provision of probation services.

Section A: Local Juvenile/Criminal Justice Data Review and Planning

Planning Questions:

Please use the information presented in the "Appendix A: 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File" attached to this application when completing the following tables and responding to the associated questions. If local data is referenced in any of your responses, please provide links to, or attach the source documents.

Please refer to the 2019-20 Annual Plan data package for comparison purposes as necessary, that document can be found at: http://www.criminaljustice.ny.gov/opca/

Juvenile Probation

<u>Table 1:</u> Using the data found in the "2018 YASI Assessments" table of the attached Appendix A: 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File, complete the following table to reflect the number YASI assessments completed by your probation department in 2018.

Note: the NYC Department of Probation shall reference their data and procedures relating to the use of the Y-LSI in Table 1 and all related questions.

Table 1: 2018 YASI Assessments

Agency	Initial Assessments (Pre-Screen or Full)	Re-Assessments	Case Closures (Final Reassessment)	Total YASI Assessments Completed
County/City				ээл үрлээээ
Non-NYC	7,300	7,764	4,363	19,427
NYC (Y-LSI)				

1. Risk and Need Assessment-

a. Comparing the assessment numbers from the 2017 (as found in Table 1 of the prior year's annual plan data package) to the 2018 assessment numbers, has there been any changes in your county in terms of the numbers of initial assessments, reassessments, and case closure assessments in your county?

Please provide your analysis for any change(s):

<u>Table 2:</u> Using the data found in the "Juvenile Workload Volume" table of the attached Appendix A: 2019-2020 Probation Analysis and Planning File, please complete the following table to reflect the 2017 Juvenile Workload Volume for your county/City.

Table 2: 2017 Juvenile Workload Volume

		2017		2017		
	PINS	PINS	PINS	JD Intake	JD	JD Supervision
	Intake	Investigations	Supervision	Opened	Investigations	Cases Opened
	Opened	Opened	Cases Opened		Opened	
County/City						
Non-NYC	4,366	783	669	5,693	1,505	1,086
Statewide	4,366	793	674	9,616	2,299	1,884

2. <u>Juvenile Workload Volume</u> Using the data presented in Table 2 for 2016 (as found in the prior year's annual plan data package) and 2017, has the Juvenile Workload Volume changed?

Please provide your analysis for the change(s)?


<u>Table 3A:</u> Please complete the following table using the data found in the "2017 Juvenile Adjustment Rates" table of the attached Appendix A: 2019-2020 Probation Analysis and Planning File.

Table 3A: 2017 Juvenile Adjustment Rates [JD] (Use Decimal Point When Entering Percentages)

	# JD Cases	JD Intake	Adjustment	Adjustment
	Closed	Cases Closed	Rate Including	Rate
		Excludes	All Closed	Excluding
		Immediate	Cases	Immediate
		Referrals		Referrals
County/City				
Non-NYC	5,794	3,010	44%	84%
Statewide	9,721	4,186	36%	85%

3. <u>Intake Adjustment Rate</u>- Using the data presented in Table 3A for 2016 (as found in the prior year's annual plan data package) and Table 3A for 2017 to compare the adjustment rate, what changes have occurred in your jurisdiction in terms of the JD adjustment rates.

How do they compare with the non-NYC rates?



<u>New York City Intake Adjustment</u>– (to be completed by the NYC Department of Probation only) Please compare your JD Adjustment rate for 2017 with the Statewide rate. How does your rate compare with the Statewide rate?

Briefly describe any plans that the NYC Department of Probation may undertake in 2019-20 to further increase the rate of adjustment:

<u>Table 3B:</u> Please complete the following table using the data found in the "2017 PINS Intakes Closed and Successfully Diverted" table of the attached Appendix A: 2019-2020 Probation Analysis and Planning File.

Table 3B: 2017 Juvenile Successful Diversion Rates [PINS] (Use Decimal Point When Entering Percentages)

	# PINS Cases	PINS Intake	Successfully	Successful	Successful
	Closed	Cases Closed	Diverted	Diversion	Diversion
		Excludes		Rate Including	Rate
		Immediate		All Closed	Excluding
		Referrals,		Cases	Immediate
		Withdrawn,			Referrals,
		Terminated			Withdrawn,
		with Bar to			Terminated
		Petition			with Bar to
					Petition
County					_
Rest of State*	5,259	3,794	2,704	51%	71%

^{*}All Probation Departments that provide PINS Intake/Diversion services reported on the OP30.

4. <u>Intake Successful Diversion Rate</u>- For departments that provide PINS Intake/Diversion Services, how do your rates compare with the Rest of State?

Please provide your analysis of the successful diversion rates, and identify any factors or your initiatives which may have contributed to the rate.

NYC DOP or other departments that do not provide PINS Intake/Diversion services, please enter N/A for this question.

<u>Table 4A:</u> Please complete the following table using the data found in the "2017 Juvenile Probation Supervision Cases Closed" table of the attached Appendix A: 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File.

Table 4A: 2017 Juvenile Probation Supervision Cases Closed (PINS) (Use Decimal Point When Entering Percentages, such as .95)

				2017			
	Total PINS Cases Closed	% Positive Outcome	% Negative Outcome		Total JD Cases Closed	% Positive Outcome	% Negative Outcome
County/City							
Non-NYC	653	71%	29%		1,017	68%	32%
Statewide	657	71%	29%		1,882	68%	32%

<u>Table 4B:</u> Please complete the following table using the data found in the "2017 JD Probation Supervision Cases Closed by Closing Category" table of the attached Appendix A: 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File.

Table 4B: 2017 Juvenile Delinquent Probation Supervision Cases Closed by Closing Category (Use Decimal Point for %)

	2017								
	Maximum	%	Early	%	Revoked/	%	Transferred	%	Total
	Expiration		Discharge		Discharged		Out		Closed
County/City									
Non-NYC	598	56%	94	9%	325	30%	52	5%	1,069
Statewide	1,159	56%	119	6%	604	29%	197	9%	2,079

5. <u>Probation Supervision and Outcomes</u>- In reviewing the 2017 data presented in Table 4A and 4B, please comment on your positive and negative outcomes for both PINS and JD rates (4A) as well as the closing reasons for JD matters (4B).

If you have a high rate of positive outcomes in 2017, what strategies or factors may have contributed to that result in your jurisdiction?

If a high negative outcome rate(s) occurred in 2017, what actions have the department taken to address this issue and what barriers still exist to improve outcomes?

<u>Tables 5 and 6</u>: Please complete the following table, using the data found in the "Detention Admissions and Care Days" 2016 and 2017 tables of the attached Appendix A: 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File.

Tables 5 and 6: Detention Admissions and Care Days

County/City	JD/JO (Youth)	PINS (Youth)		Total (JD/JO, PINS)		JD/JO	PINS
	#	%	#	%	#	%	Care	Days
2016								
2017								

6. Reviewing the table for *Detention Admissions and Care Days for 2016 and 2017*, please indicate the change, if any, of use in your county?

Please provide your analysis for an increase or decrease:

<u>Table 7:</u> Please complete the following table using the data found in the "Distribution of JDs Admitted to LDSS Custody, OCFS Custody in Voluntaries, and OCFS Custody in OCFS Operated Facilities 2013-2017" table of the attached Appendix A: 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File.

Table 7: JD Admissions: OCFS Custody in Operated Facilities, OCFS Custody in Voluntary Agencies and LDSS Custody: 2013-2017

County/City	# OCFS FAC JD	# OCFS VA JD	# LDSS JD	Total
2013				
2014				
2015				
2016				
2017				

^{7.} Reviewing the table for *Distribution of JDs Admitted for 2013-2017*, do these numbers reflect any trend in placements for your county?

Please describe actions or circumstances that may have impacted this trend:

<u>Table 8:</u> Please complete the following table using the data found in the "Local District of Social Services PINS Admissions By County 2013 to 2017" table of the attached 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File.

Table 8: Local District of Social Services Custody PINS Youth in Care

	County/City	LDSS PINS
2013		
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		

8.	Reviewing the chart for <i>Local District of Social Services PINS Admissions By County 2013 to 2017</i> , do these numbers reflect any trend for PINS admissions in your county over the past five years?
	Please describe actions or circumstances that may have impacted this trend:
9.	What programs are in place to address: detention, intake/diversion, and supervision?
10	If your department is a partner or utilizing STSJP (Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program) funding from OCFS, please advise what services have been implemented through this funding stream in your county to reduce detention and placements:
	Is Probation the lead STSJP agency?

11.	a. Programs and Services- What are the top three present challenges in your county regarding juveniles (examples: truancy, interactions within the family, substance abuse, mental illness, gangs, criminal associates, etc.)?
	Are there sufficient program/services in your county address juvenile justice needs?
	If not, what types of programs/services would help in your community?
	b. <u>2019-20 Future Strategies</u> – Given the analysis of the juvenile justice data above , please advise what systems issues exist within your jurisdiction and advise on any strategies to improve your juvenile justice system:

	What guidance/resources might be helpful to implement such strategies?
12.	Is your department using Juvenile Substitute Contacts for JD or PINS probation supervision cases - pursuant to 9 NYCRR Part 351, Section 351.6(b)?
	If yes, which agencies and programs within your jurisdiction do you use when implementing Juvenile Substitute Contacts?
	Why were these agencies selected and what is the evidence to demonstrate they have positive outcomes in working with juveniles?
Casa Plai	nning for Probation Supervision Cases for Family Court/Youthful Offenders (YO)
	Please indicate which case plan for format your Department utilizes with probation supervision cases for
	Family Court/YO Cases:
	Note: CE Planning Product is a separate case planning module. If using the Case Plan in CE, select PRCR.
	If "Local Case Plan or Other" is selected – Please provide a copy to OPCA with the submission of this data package.

14. Please indicate which of the following items are addressed in local policy in terms of case planning for this population:

Efforts to engage the probationer and their family.

Provided feedback of the assessment results.

Use of risk and needs assessment(s) results to inform the action steps, short term and longer term goals. Determine level of motivation to change.

Use of Motivational Interviewing.

Match case planning goals and strategies to probationer's level of motivation to change.

Documented Review and Approval of assessment results of the case plan by the Probation Supervisor.

Comment:

15. Please indicate which of the following items are addressed in local policy in terms of Periodic Reassessment and Case Review for this population:

Case review every 3 months for active juvenile cases, 6 months for active criminal cases, or every 12 months for administrative cases.

Reassessment or case review utilizing a state approved risk and needs assessment or case review instrument.

Review of compliance with all required contacts and documentation in the case file.

Where applicable, review of documented participation in merit credit activities and documentation.

Review of compliance with the conditions of probation as well as progress towards achieving the case plan goals, objectives, and action steps.

Consideration of the following options: modification of the case plan; reclassification of the supervision level; modification of the conditions of probation; and/or where applicable, merit credit eligibility.

Comment:

Adult Probation

<u>Table 9:</u> Complete the following table, using the data found in the "NYCOMPAS Assessments and Reviews Completed by Probation Departments 2018" table of the attached Appendix A: 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File.

Note: the NYC Department of Probation shall reference their data and procedures relating to the use of the LSI in Table 9 and related questions

Table 9: NYCOMPAS Assessments and Reviews Completed by Probation Departments 2018

	Pre-Trial	Initial NYCOMPAS	Case Supervision Review	Grand Total
County				
NYC (LSI)				

16. Comparing the assessment numbers from the 2017(as found in Table 9 of the prior year's annual plan data package to the 2018 assessment numbers, has there been any changes in your county in terms of the numbers of pretrial, initial assessments, and reclassification?

Please offer your analysis for any change(s):

<u>Table 10</u>: Complete the following table, using the data found in the "New Probationers Received: 2018" table of the attached Appendix A: 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File and the data from last year's table.

Table 10: 2018 New Probationers Received

	Sentenced Misdemeanors	Sentenced Felonies	Interim Supervision	Other	Total
County/City					

17. In comparison to the 2017 New Probationers Received table (Table 10 in the prior year's annual plan data package) has your jurisdiction experienced any significant changes to the number of New Probationers received in any of these categories?

Please offer your analysis for any change:

<u>Table 11:</u> Complete the following table, using the data presented in the "Violations of Probation Filed and Recorded in IPRS: 2018" table found in the attached Appendix A: 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File.

Please note that the number of Total Probation Cases in this table includes the total number of active cases open for any portion of 2018. Further, VOP's filed are counted once in this table according to the following hierarchy: New Conviction, Technical Arrest, Absconded, Other Technical.

Table 11: Violations of Probation Filed: 2018 (Use Decimal Point for %)

	Total	Total	% of Cases	New	%	Technical	%	Absconded	%	Other	%
	Probation	Cases	with Vio-	Conviction		Arrest				Technical	
	Cases	with	lation Filed							Violation	
		Violation									
		Filed									
County/											
City											
Non-NYC	95,924	9,862	10%	220	2%	4,156	42%	1,151	12%	4,335	44%
Statewide	137,253	11,143	8%	442	4%	4,816	43%	1,435	13%	4,450	40%

^{*}Please note that these numbers include all active probation cases by jurisdiction throughout the year 2018.

18. <u>Probation Violation Rates</u>
What is your jurisdiction's percentage of open cases with violations filed in 2018?
Please offer any observations from within the jurisdiction which may have affected this rate, and any strategies to address this rate:
Counties outside of NYC: How does your % of cases with Violations Filed compare with non-NYC and statewid rates?
<i>NYC Department of Probation:</i> How does your % of cases with Violations Filed compare with the Statewide rate?

NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services
Office of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
2019 Probation Department Warrant Survey

Name (•	artment: on Completing Survey:	<u> </u>		
e-mail:					
		d:			
19.	active	provide the current number probationers¹: Felony-based:	r of outstanding VOP warr	rants issued for Violation	s of Probation on
	u.	,	ed ² (as subset of all Felon	ny-hased in 1a):	
	h	Misdemeanor-based:	ed (as subset of all reior		<u> </u>
		Other (please specify):			
20.	Please time p	estimate how many of the ceriods:	Felony –based	rants have been outstan	ding for the followinք
ľ			Probation sentence	Probation sentence	
	a.	0 to 6 months			
	b.	6+ to 12 months			
	C.	1 yr+ to 5 years			
	d.	5+ yrs to 9+ years			
	e.	10 or more years			
21.	If "Yes"	our department execute wa Yes No who is authorized to do so Any Peace Officer Designated Peace Formalized unit Other describe:	?		
	depart	a warrant for a violation of pment for transmittal to the large Yes No a warrant for a violation of p	holding agency?		
		Iding agency? Yes No	nosation is signed by the	coart, does the court to	ward it directly to

¹ An active probationer is one who appears on the Integrated Probation Registrant System as an open or active case because the Maximum Expiration Date has not been reached or the period of probation supervision has been tolled by a Declaration of Delinquency.

² Violent Felonies are those offenses enumerated in NYS Penal Law §70.02 (1)(a thru d).

NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services
Office of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
2019 Probation Department Warrant Survey

24. List the agency(cies) that enter(s) your warrants for violation of probation?
a	
b	
C	
d	
e	
Local pr	tem(s) are your warrants for violation of probation entered? obation case management system ed Probation Registrant System (IPRS)
= -	ed Justice Portal (IJP)
Caseloa	d Explorer (CE)
Ш	
and	obation (where "1" equals "Holds the most number of warrants for violation of probation; d "7" equals "Holds the least number of warrants for violation of probation"). Probation Department
	Sheriff's Department
	·
	NY State Police
	New York City Police Department
	Original Arresting Agency
	Other State (interstate cases)
	Other:
	outstanding warrants, does your department have a policy or practice that provides for warrant vacature from the issuing court? No
If "Yes", please	forward the policy or description of practice with this completed questionnaire.

NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services Office of Probation and Correctional Alternatives 2019 Probation Department Warrant Survey

28. If you wish to clarify any of your responses to the above questions, please do so below
29. What is the county policies regarding the efforts to locate and apprehend absconders?
Note: Please return the Departments warrant absconder policy

<u>Table 12:</u> Complete the following table, using the data presented in the "Probationers Resentenced for a Violation of Probation: 2018" report found in the attached Appendix A: 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File.

Table 12: Probationer Cases Resentenced for a Violation of Probation: 2018 (Use Decimal Point for %)

	Jail		Prison		Other		Total Offenders	Total	Re-Sentenced
	#	% of Total Re- Sentenced	#	% of Total Re-Sentenced	#	% of Total Re- Sentenced	Re-Sentenced	Probationers	Rate
County/City									
Non-NYC	4,731	78.4%	890	14.7%	415	6.9%	6,036	86,450	7.0%
Statewide	5,612	79.0%	1,035	14.6%	459	6.5%	7,106	126,703	5.6%

30. <u>Probationers Re-Sentenced for a Probation Violation</u>- What is your jurisdiction's violation re-sentence rate for 2018?

Counties outside of NYC: How does your violation re-sentence rate compare with the non-NYC and statewide rates?

NYC Department of Probation: How does your violation re-sentence rate compare with the statewide rate?

31. Since completion of the 2018-2019 Annual Probation Plan/Application, has your Department issued any written graduated responses or violation policy regarding the consideration of the RSC's?

If yes, please attach a copy of such policy to the completed plan.

<u>Table 13:</u> Complete the following table, using the data presented in the "Adult Supervision Outcomes: 2018 Closures" table found in the Appendix A: 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File.

Table 13: Adult Supervision Outcomes: 2018 Case Closures for Sentenced Individuals

	Total Probationer Outcomes	Early Discharge		Maximum Expiration		Total Positive		Negative Outcome		Neutral Outcome	
		Number Closed	% of Total Closed	Number Closed	% of Total Closed	Number Positive	% of Total Closed	Number Negative	% of Total Closed	Number Neutral	% of Total Closed
County/City											
Non-NYC	23,895	5,475	22.9%	7,851	32.9%	13,326	55.8%	7,721	32.3%	2,848	11.9%
Statewide	29,870	6,157	20.6%	11,955	40.0%	18,112	60.6%	8,869	29.7%	2,889	9.7%

32. <u>Positive Outcomes</u>- What is your rate of positive outcomes for individuals under criminal court probation supervision (Table 13)?

The neutral outcome number is based upon interim cases that were closed as *returned to court for further action* – this closure may be either a positive or negative outcome depending upon the case. If your department had a large number of interim cases closed with this neutral outcome, based upon your experience, how would this neutral outcome number influence your overall positive or negative outcomes numbers for the department? For example, if the positive percentage was 33%, the negative percentage was 33%, and the neutral percentage was 34% (with the majority of the interim cases closed as positive based upon your experience) you might estimate that your positive percentage would actually be closer to 62%.

Counties outside of NYC: How does this compare with the non-NYC and the statewide rates?

NYC Department of Probation: How does this compare with the statewide rate?

33. <u>Early Discharges-</u> What is your jurisdiction's rate of Early Discharge from Probation Supervision in 2018 as indicated in Table 14?

Please describe any change in early discharge rates that your department experienced compared to 2017, and any reasons for such change. What actions, if any, has your department taken in convening meetings with Judges, Prosecutors, Defense Counsel in your county/city?
Counties outside of NYC: How does this compare with the non-NYC and the statewide rates?
NYC Department of Probation: How does this compare with the statewide rate?
34. If you have a high maximum expiration closure and a low early discharge rate, please explain why and what actions the Department has taken to address this?

Case Planning for Court-Ordered Probation Supervision Cases – Criminal Court (Non-YO)

35.	Please indicate which case plan format your Departmen	t utilizes with	criminal court	(Non-YO) supe	rvision
	cases:				

CE Planning Product is a separate case planning module. If using the Case Plan in CE, select PRCR.

If "Local Case Plan or Other" is selected – Please provide a copy to OPCA with this submission.

36. Please indicate which of the following items are addressed in local policy in terms of case planning for this population:

Efforts to engage the probationer and their family.

Provided feedback of the assessment results.

Use of risk and needs assessment(s) results to inform the action steps, short term and longer term goals. Determine level of motivation to change.

Use of Motivational Interviewing.

Match case planning goals and strategies to probationer's level of motivation to change.

Documented Review and Approval of assessment results of the case plan by the Probation Supervisor.

Comment:

37. Please indicate which of the following items are addressed in local policy in terms of Periodic Reassessment and Case Review for this population:

Case review every 3 months for active juvenile cases, 6 months for active criminal cases, or every 12 months for administrative cases.

Reassessment or case review utilizing a state approved risk and needs assessment or case review instrument.

Review of compliance with all required contacts and documentation in the case file.

Where applicable, review of documented participation in merit credit activities and documentation.

Review of compliance with the conditions of probation as well as progress towards achieving the case plan goals, objectives, and action steps.

Consideration of the following options: modification of the case plan; reclassification of the supervision level; modification of the conditions of probation; and/or where applicable, merit credit eligibility.

Comment:

<u>Table 14:</u> Complete the following tables, using the data presented in the "Probationer Felony Re-Arrests within One, Two, and Three Years of Being Sentenced to Probation 2013-2017" tables found in the Appendix A: 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File.

Table 14: Probationer Felony Re-Arrests within One, Two, and Three Years of Being Sentenced to Probation 2013-2017

County/City		Year S	entenced to Pro	bation	
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
# Sentenced During Year					
% arrested Within One Year					
% arrested Within Two Years					
% arrested Within Three Years					

Non-NYC		Year Sentenced to Probation										
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017							
# Sentenced During Year	22,474	20,924	19,376	19,354	18,988							
% arrested Within One Year	10.1%	9.9%	10.1%	10.3%	10.5%							
% arrested Within Two Years	16.1%	15.8%	16.2%	16.5%								
% arrested Within Three Years	20.8%	20.5%	20.8%									

Statewide		Year Sentenced to Probation									
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017						
# Sentenced During Year	27,555	25,731	23,460	24,080	23,751						
% arrested Within One Year	11.5%	11.1%	11.2%	11.8%	11.7%						
% arrested Within Two Years	18.0%	17.7%	17.8%	18.3%							
% arrested Within Three Years	23.0%	22.7%	22.6%								

38.. <u>Probationer Recidivism</u>- How has your jurisdiction's Probationer Felony Re-Arrest Rates changed over the last three to five years for the one year, two year and three year recidivism rate including any increases or decreases noted and your analysis for the reasons why the change has occurred (Table 14)?

Please advise of any changes the department has made or will be making to reduce the probationer recidivism rate?

<u>Table 15:</u> Complete the following table, using the data presented in the "Probation Cases Past Maximum Expiration Date" table found in the attached Appendix A: 2019-20 Probation Analysis and Planning File.

Please note that this table presents a "snapshot" of information as reflected in IPRS as of the date indicated on the report.

Table 15: Probation Cases Past Maximum Expiration Date: 2018

		Cases with DC	DD and VOP Filed	Cases without DOD	and VOP Filed	Cases in IPRS with VOP reason of Absconder		
	Total Cases Active on IPRS as of 12 31 2017	Cases past Maximum Expiration	% of Active Cases past Maximum Expiration	Total #Cases >MED Closable	% of Active Cases past Maximum Expiration*	# Cases with Open VOP for Absconding	% Active Cases with Open VOP for Absconding	
County/City								
Non-NYC	69,683	5,609	8.0%	1,072	1.5%	3,513	5.0%	
Statewide	103,852	17,237	16.6%	1,979	1.9%	12,480	12.0%	

<u>Probation Warrants and Case Closings</u>- Using the data presented in Table 16, please answer the following:

39. What is your probation department's % Active Cases > MED with DOD as of 02/05/2018?

Do these cases represent active warrants in your jurisdiction?

What action(s) has your jurisdiction taken or will be taking to reduce the number of active probation warrants for absconders?

40. What is your probation department's % Active IPRS Cases Closeable without Declaration of Delinquency and Violation Filed as of 02/05/2018?

These represent cases that should be closed in the Integrated Probation Registrant System. This % Active IPRS Cases Closeable should be as close to zero as possible. If it is above 5% what action(s) is your jurisdiction taking to reduce the number?

Note: The % Active IPRS Cases Closeable ("Threshold Report") requires certification by the Probation Director that your departmental rate is less than 5%. Please be sure to check that your department threshold rate is below 5% and as close to 0% as possible before executing the certification. How does the threshold rate in 2018 compare to the rate for 2019?

threshold rate in 2018 compare to the rate for 2019?	
Any new strategies result in a decrease or issues that have resulted in an increase?	
Planning and Strategies	
41. <u>Programs and Services</u> - Are there sufficient program/services in your jurisdiction to address the needs your criminal court probationers?	of
If not, what types of programs/services are needed in your county (examples: substance abuse services mental health services, sex offender treatment, cognitive behavioral programming, pre-trial services, e	
Please describe any actions your department may have taken or is planning to work with the Health Hor agency in your county to assist individuals register for Medicaid funded behavioral health services.	nes

42. <u>2019-20 Future Strategies</u> —Considering the target areas identified by the questions above (for example Risk and Need Assessment, supervision outcomes, case planning, and reducing violations) please describe any strategies which you plan to employ in 2019-20 to reduce recidivism and improve public safety, and improve the Criminal Justice outcomes in your jurisdiction.

Case Planning for Court-Ordered Probation Supervision Cases – Criminal Court (Non-YO)

31.	Please indicate which case plan format your Departmen	it utilizes with	n criminal co	ourt (Non-YO)	supervision
	cases:				

CE Planning Product is a separate case planning module. If using the Case Plan in CE, select PRCR.

If "Local Case Plan or Other" is selected – Please provide a copy to OPCA with this submission.

32. Please indicate which of the following items are addressed in local policy in terms of case planning for this population:

Efforts to engage the probationer and their family.

Provided feedback of the assessment results.

Use of risk and needs assessment(s) results to inform the action steps, short term and longer term goals. Determine level of motivation to change.

Use of Motivational Interviewing.

Match case planning goals and strategies to probationer's level of motivation to change.

Documented Review and Approval of assessment results of the case plan by the Probation Supervisor.

Comment:

33. Please indicate which of the following items are addressed in local policy in terms of Periodic Reassessment and Case Review for this population:

Case review every 3 months for active juvenile cases, 6 months for active criminal cases, or every 12 months for administrative cases.

Reassessment or case review utilizing a state approved risk and needs assessment or case review instrument.

Review of compliance with all required contacts and documentation in the case file.

Where applicable, review of documented participation in merit credit activities and documentation.

Review of compliance with the conditions of probation as well as progress towards achieving the case plan goals, objectives, and action steps.

Consideration of the following options: modification of the case plan; reclassification of the supervision level; modification of the conditions of probation; and/or where applicable, merit credit eligibility.

Comment:

Section B: Local Program Inventory

Utilize the form below to inventory the programs/services available and those that you wish to develop or expand in your jurisdiction. First identify the information for programs/services available during 2018 then identify what the jurisdiction's plan is for programs/services in 2019-2020.

	Target Population (Please check all applicable boxes)					Delivery <i>I</i> e check, if			Plan for 2019-20 (check one box only)
	JD	PINS	Crim- inal	Probation	АТІ		Provided by a Service Delivery Agency other than Probation or ATI?	Operated in 2018? (check if yes)	Status (Start, Maintain, Expand, Decrease, or End)
Probation Specialized									
Supervision/Caseloads									
Adult Pre-Trial Services									
Domestic Violence									
Driving While Intoxicated									
Drug Offenders or Drug Court									
Female									
Gangs									
Juvenile Risk Intervention Services Coordination									

	Target Population (Please check all applicable boxes)					Delivery A			Plan for 2019-20 (check one box only)
	JD	PINS	Crim- inal	Probation	ATI		Provided by a Service Delivery Agency other than Probation or ATI?	Operated in 2018? (check if yes)	Status (Start, Maintain, Expand, Decrease, or End)
PINS Pre-Diversion Services						1			
PINS Intake/Diversion Services									
Young Offenders (Youthful Offenders or 16-24 YOA)									
Mental Health									
Co-Occurring Disorders									
(Mental Health and Substance Abuse)									
Sex Offender									
Veterans									
(other)									
(other)									

	(P	get Popula lease chec plicable bo	k all			Delivery <i>I</i>			Plan for 2019-20 (check one box only)
	JD	PINS	Crim- inal	Probation	АТІ		Provided by a Service Delivery Agency other than Probation or ATI?	Operated in 2018? (check if yes)	Status (Start, Maintain, Expand, Decrease, or End)
Low Intensity									
Community Service									
Council for Boys & Young Men									
Evening / Afterschool Centers									
Girl's Circle									
Juvenile Community Accountability Board (JCAB)									
Juvenile Community Restoration (JCR)									
Juvenile Community Services (JCS)									
Mediation									
Mentoring									
Youth Court									

	Target Population (Please check all applicable boxes)					Delivery A e check, if			Plan for 2019-20 (check one box only)
	JD	PINS	Crim- inal	Probation	ΑТІ		Provided by a Service Delivery Agency other than Probation or ATI?	Operated in 2018? (check if yes)	Status (Start, Maintain, Expand, Decrease, or End)
Cognitive-Behavioral									
Interventions Available									
Aggression Replacement Training (ART)									
Brief Intervention Tools (BITS)									
Brief Strategic Family Therapy (BSFT)									
Crossroads Offender Curricula (NCTI)									
Specify Module(s) used: (module1)									
(module2)									
(module3)									
Decision Points									
Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT)									
Family Solution Program (FSP)									
Functional Family Therapy (FFT)									
Interactive Journaling									

	(Pl	get Popula ease check	k all		Service Delivery Agency (please check, if yes)				Plan for 2019-20 (check one box only)
	JD	PINS	Crim- inal	Probation	АТІ		Provided by a Service Delivery Agency other than Probation or ATI?	Operated in 2018? (check if yes)	Status (Start, Maintain, Expand, Decrease, or End)
Life Skills Training (LST)								,,,,	
Moral Reconation Therapy (MRT)									
Multidimensional Family Therapy (MDFT)									
Multi-Dimensional Treatment Foster Care									
Multi-Systemic Therapy (MST)									
National Curriculum & Training Institute Youth Crossroads									
Parenting with Love and Limits (PLL)									
Peaceful Alternatives to Tough Situations (PATTS)									
Strengthening Families									
Thinking for a Change (NIC)									
(other)									
Other Programs/Services									

	(Pl	get Popula ease chec blicable bo	k all			Delivery A			Plan for 2019-20 (check one box only)
	JD	PINS	Crim- inal	Probation	ATI		Provided by a Service Delivery Agency other than Probation or ATI?	Operated in 2018? (check if yes)	Status (Start, Maintain, Expand, Decrease, or End)
Adolescent Diversion Project									
Career University (OWDS)									
Computer Search and Monitoring									
Day Reporting									
Detention Services									
Domestic Violence Name of Program:									
Educational Opportunity Centers									
Employment Services/Support									
Gang Intervention Name of Program:									
GED Program									
Group Counseling									

	Target Population (Please check all applicable boxes)			Service Delivery Agency (please check, if yes)					Plan for 2019-20 (check one box only)
	JD	PINS	Crim- inal	Probation	АТІ		Provided by a Service Delivery Agency other than Probation or ATI?	Operated in 2018? (check if yes)	Status (Start, Maintain, Expand, Decrease, or End)
Mental Health Crisis Intervention									
Parenting Skills									
Problematic Sexual Behavior									
School-Based Probation Officers									
Sex Offender Treatment: Group									
Sex Offender Treatment: Individual									
Specialized Assessments: Mental Health / Substance Abuse									
Substance Abuse Treatment			_			_			
Victim Awareness									
Victim Impact Panels									
Why Try									

	(PI	get Popul ease chec olicable bo	ck all	Service Delivery Agency (please check, if yes)					Plan for 2019-20 (check one box only)
	JD	PINS	Crim- inal	Probation	ATI		Provided by a Service Delivery Agency other than Probation or ATI?	Operated in 2018? (check if yes)	Status (Start, Maintain, Expand, Decrease, or End)
Restorative Justice practices (i.e. community accountability boards, mediation, victim-offender reconciliation)									
Specialty Courts									
Domestic Violence Driving While Intoxicated									
Drug Treatment (Criminal Court)									
Family Treatment (Family Court)									
Specialized Juvenile Delinquency									
Mental Health									

	Target Population (Please check all applicable boxes)			Service Delivery Agency (please check, if yes)					Plan for 2019-20 (check one box only)
	JD	PINS	Crim- inal	Probation	АТІ		Provided by a Service Delivery Agency other than Probation or ATI?	Operated in 2018? (check if yes)	Status (Start, Maintain, Expand, Decrease, or End)
Specialized Probation Violations									
Veterans									
Adolescent Diversion									
Sex Offender									
(other)									
(other)									
Miscellaneous									

	(PI	get Popul ease chec plicable bo	k all			rvice Delivery Agency (please check, if yes)			Plan for 2019-20 (check one box only)
	JD	PINS	Crim- inal	Probation	ATI		Provided by a Service Delivery Agency other than Probation or ATI?	Operated in 2018? (check if yes)	Status (Start, Maintain, Expand, Decrease, or End)
Drug Testing: Hair Analysis									
Drug Testing: Urinalysis									
Drug Testing: Saliva									
Drug Testing: Other									
Electronic Monitoring: Home									
Electronic Monitoring: GPS (Passive)									
Electronic Monitoring: GPS (Active)									
Home Confinement (Non-EM)									
Field Intelligence Probation Officer									
Gender-Responsive Strategies									
Voice Reporting									

	(PI	get Popula ease checo Dicable bo	k all	Service Delivery Age (please check, if yes					Plan for 2019-20 (check one box only)
	JD	PINS	Crim- inal	Probation	АТІ		Provided by a Service Delivery Agency other than Probation or ATI?	Operated in 2018? (check if yes)	Status (Start, Maintain, Expand, Decrease, or End)
Kiosk Reporting									
Pre-Dispositional Supervision									
Polygraph									
Real Time Video Reporting									
Respite									
Warrant Execution									

Section C: Local Probation Training

Inventory

Instructions:

44. Complete the chart below by checking the appropriate boxes, identifying which training topics Probation staff received in 2018 and, based on your 2019-20 strategies developed in Section D: Data Review and Planning, identify the training needs required to support your strategies during the next year.

Probation Training Topics	Training Completed During 2018	Training Needed in 2019-20
Alcohol/Substance Abuse		
Automation – Caseload Explorer		
Cognitive Behavioral		
Interventions		
Domestic Violence		
Executive Leadership Skills		
Family Group Conferencing		
Gangs		
Gender-Specific Issues		
Ignition Interlock		
Interstate Transfers		
Intrastate Transfers		
Juvenile Justice		
Mental Health		

Describe how your county will provide the needed training above:

Comments Related to Training Needs:

45. Cognitive Behavioral Intervention/Evidence-Based Practices Trained Staff

Provide below the names of the Probation staff that have been trained in 2018 (last calendar year) in Thinking for a Change, Motivational Interviewing, or Offender Workforce Development Specialist, Decision Points, or other CBI program. If there are no staff trained in any of these areas indicate by noting "none". For the last column "other", please list CBI program the staff person has been trained in (i.e. MRT, FFT, MST, BSFT, Interactive Journaling, Strengthening Families, etc.)

Name	Thinking for a Change *Check Box	Training for the Trainer *Check Box	Advanced MI *Check Box	Offender Workforce Development Specialist *Check Box	Global Career Development Facilitator *Check Box	Other Cognitive Behavioral Intervention Program *Please enter name of program

Please describe your department's plan to train staff in cognitive behavioral interventions during 2019:

Staff Training

Please answer the following questions about new probation officer training:

Please enter zero(s) where applicable.

- 46. New PO/POT staff hired during 2018. Number:
 - 1. Of above number, how many were transfers from another probation department or rehires with less than four years absence from the job? Number:
 - 2. Of the above number, how many have been registered with NYS Peace Officer Registry at time of hire. <u>Number</u>:
- 47. Total PO/POT staff completing Peace Officer/Fundamentals of Probation Practice course in 2018 and including those hired in the prior year. <u>Number</u>:
- 48. Total PO/POT staff completing Firearms Training including those hired in the prior year, if required locally. <u>Number</u>:
- 49. Total PO/POT staff, including those hired in prior years, who have not yet completed:
 - Peace Officer/Fundamentals of Probation Practice:
 - Firearms Training:
- 50. If there are any officers within your department, hired prior to 2018, who have not completed Peace Officer/Fundamentals of Probation Practice or Firearms, if required, detail planned corrective action in the space below.

In the chart below, indicate the number of professional peace officer staff in the department, and of those, the number that completed the required 21 hour training requirement in 2018.

- Supervisory Management Staff includes supervisors and above
- Line staff includes POs, POTs, Sr. POs, and PO IIs
- Please do not include Probation Assistants in this count.

		Number who have
		completed the 21
		required hours of
Staff Type	Number of Staff	Training
Supervisory Management		
Line Staff		
Total Professional Staff		

If 100% of the professional staff have not completed the required 21 hours of annual training, please identify staff and provide explantion for not meeting this requirement:

Section D: Juvenile Services

D-1 – County Probation Department

Please complete the following items relevant to your department's YASI utilization. Click on the down arrow and select the response option that most accurately reflects your department's use of YASI. Provide exact number for item 1, approximate percentages for items 2-19, and "yes" or "no" for items 20-24.

	YASI Training	Percent	Number
1	Number of staff who need Initial YASI training		
2	Number of staff who need additional YASI Training (i.e. Case Planning)		

	YASI Referrals for Services	2018	2019-20
3	Diversion - cases where YASI Full Assessment information is used to match youth		
	to appropriate services.		
4	Diversion - cases where the YASI Full Assessment information and Case Plan are		
	shared with service provider.		
5	Supervision - cases where YASI Full Assessment information is used to match youth		
	to appropriate services.		
6	Supervision - cases where the YASI Full Assessment information and Case Plan are		
	shared with service provider.		
Plac	ement		
7	Post-adjudication – cases in which an OCFS or LDSS placement is the disposition		
	(either at disposition or a Violation of Probation disposition) where the YASI Full		
	Assessment information is shared with the placement agency.		
Qua	lity Assurance and Data Utilization	Yes	No
8	The department has written policies and procedures regarding use of YASI Pre		
	Screen and Full Assessment.		
9	The department has written policies and procedures regarding use of YASI case		
	planning, service referral, and reassessment protocols.		

10	The department has a system to assure accuracy of YASI Pre-Screen and Full Assessment scoring accuracy and inter-rater reliability.
11	The department has a system to assure staff continues developing their interviewing styles and skills (i.e. Motivational Interviewing training).
12	The department is able to use its YASI data to assist in departmental policies and planning for juveniles within their county.

Additional Comments:

<u>D-2 – NYC Department of Probation</u>

Please complete the following items relevant to your department's Y-LSI utilization. For stage of the juvenile probation system indicated below, click on the down arrow and select the response option that most accurately reflects your department's use of Y-LSI. Provide exact number for item 1, approximate percentages for items 2-19, and "yes" or "no" for items 20-24.

	Y-LSI Training	Percent	Number
1	Number of staff who a god VICI training		
1	Number of staff who need Y-LSI training		
	Y-LSI Screening and Assessment	2018	2019-20
2	Intake - cases receiving a Y-LSI Pre-Screen.		
3	Intake - low risk cases triaged via Pre Screen and diverted.		
4	Intake - moderate and high risk cases receiving a Y-LSI Full Assessment (in order to case plan).		
5	Investigation - cases receiving a Y-LSI Full Assessment (to inform PDI).		
6	Investigation - investigations completed using Y-LSI PDI Narrative software.		
7	Supervision - cases receiving Y-LSI Full Assessment (if not done at Intake or reassessment within 30 days if one was done at Intake).		
	Y-LSI Case Planning		
8	Diversion - cases where Y-LSI Case Planning software utilized.		
9	Supervision - cases where Y-LSI Case Planning software utilized.		
10	Supervision - cases where Y-LSI Full Assessment results are used to assist with supervision level assignment.		

	Y-LSI Referrals for Services		
11	Diversion - cases where Y-LSI Full Assessment information is used to match youth to appropriate services.		
12	Diversion - cases where the Y-LSI Full Assessment information and Case Plan are shared with service provider.		
13	Supervision - cases where Y-LSI Full Assessment information is used to match youth to appropriate services.		
14	Supervision - cases where the Y-LSI Full Assessment information and Case Plan are shared with service provider.		
	Y-LSI Reassessment		
15	Diversion - cases receiving Y-LSI Reassessment every 90 days.		
16	Diversion - cases receiving Y-LSI Reassessment at case closure.		
17	Supervision - cases receiving Y-LSI Reassessment every 90 days.		
18	Supervision - cases receiving Y-LSI Reassessment at case closure.		
	Placement		
19	Post-adjudication – cases in which an OCFS or LDSS placement is the disposition		
	(either at disposition or a Violation of Probation disposition) where the Y-LSI Full		
	Assessment information is shared with the placement agency.		
	Quality Assurance and Data Utilization	Yes	No
20	The department has written policies and procedures regarding use of Y-LSI Pre Screen and Full Assessment.		
21	The department has written policies and procedures regarding use of Y-LSI case planning, service referral, and reassessment protocols.		
22	The department has a system to assure accuracy of Y-LSI Pre-Screen and Full Assessment scoring accuracy and inter-rater reliability.		
23	The department has a system to assure staff continues developing their interviewing styles and skills (i.e. Motivational Interviewing training).		
24	The department is able to use its Y-LSI data to assist in departmental policies and planning for juveniles within their co unty.		

Δ	dd	litio	nal	Co	mm	er	ıte:
м	uu	IILIO	нaі	-		ı	แอ.

SECTION E: Focal Issues

Risk/Need Ass	essment
If the department utilizes any <u>specialized</u> risk/need assessment for a special population such as Domestic	a.
Violence, DWI, Mental Health, Gender Specific, Sex offender and/or other specialized assessment please	b.
indicate the name of the specialized assessment in this	c.
section. (Not NYCOMPAS, YASI, YLSI, or LSI)	d.
	e.

Probationer Employment Status

The term 'Employable adult probationers' means the total number of all probationers having the ability to work. Please exclude the following: inmates, disabled (unable to work), retired, full-time students, full-time homemakers, undocumented workers, absconders, and any others who are not in the workforce for legitimate and verifiable reasons (such as substance abuse/mental health treatment/conditions) that currently prevent employment.

	Number of	Number of	% Employable
	Employable	Employed	Who Are
	Probationers	Probationers	Employed
As of December 31, 2018, how many of the department's			
adult probation cases are employable and how many of			
those employable probationers are actually employed (full-			
or part-time)? Probation Departments are requested to			
report the actual number of probationers as defined			
above. (Use decimal point for %)			

Mental Health Caseload

If your Department maintains a specialized Mental He	ealth caseload(s) for mental illness and/o	or co-occurring			
isorders (as reflected in the Program Inventory section of this plan), please advise the number of FTE's assigned					
o this work.					
Please provide the names of the probation officers as	signed to the specialized Mental Health	caseloads:			
Please advise of the total number of probationers sup of December 31, 2018.	pervised on such specialized Mental Hea	lth caseloads as			
DWI Investigation and Supervision					
DWI Investiga	ation and Supervision				
Does your Department maintain a specialized DWI pr					
	re-sentence investigation officer(s)?	rogram Inventory			
Does your Department maintain a specialized DWI pr	rvision caseload(s) (as reflected in the Pr	rogram Inventory			
Does your Department maintain a specialized DWI pr	re-sentence investigation officer(s)? rvision caseload(s) (as reflected in the Pofficers assigned to this work. oad(s), please advise of the total number				
Does your Department maintain a specialized DWI proof of this plan), please advise of the number of configuration of the plan of the specialized DWI caselogue.	re-sentence investigation officer(s)? rvision caseload(s) (as reflected in the Pofficers assigned to this work. oad(s), please advise of the total number				
Does your Department maintain a specialized DWI proof of this plan), please advise of the number of configuration of the plan of the specialized DWI caselogue.	re-sentence investigation officer(s)? rvision caseload(s) (as reflected in the Profficers assigned to this work. oad(s), please advise of the total number ember 31, 2018.	rof			
Does your Department maintain a specialized DWI properties of the number of control of this plan), please advise of the number of control of the plan of the number of control of the plan of the number of control of the nu	re-sentence investigation officer(s)? rvision caseload(s) (as reflected in the Profficers assigned to this work. oad(s), please advise of the total number ember 31, 2018.	rof			
Does your Department maintain a specialized DWI proof of this plan), please advise of the number of configuration of this plan and the specialized DWI caseless probationers supervised on such caseloads as of December 1.	re-sentence investigation officer(s)? rvision caseload(s) (as reflected in the Profficers assigned to this work. oad(s), please advise of the total number ember 31, 2018.	of			
Does your Department maintain a specialized DWI proof of the plan of the number of control of the number of co	re-sentence investigation officer(s)? rvision caseload(s) (as reflected in the Profficers assigned to this work. oad(s), please advise of the total number ember 31, 2018.	of			

Other:	
(i.e. Remote Alcohol Monitoring)	
Number of Probation staff with access to the NYS DIV	IV License Event Notification System (LENS)?
Does your Department utilize the License Monitor http://www.licensemonitor.com/ system?	
monitoring pursuant to the requirements of Lea	ees did your department receive for Ignition Interlock ndra's Law subsequent to their parole/release from the ervision (DOCCS)? Please include both probation and
who are subject to Leandra's Law, but do not ins	entencing Courts have begun to require that operators stall an IID because they report that they do not own or me, and/or mobile electronic monitoring devices to
•	t install because they have stated to the Court they do lepartment use any other remote electronic devices that
	ot install IIDs and, as a result, are required to use other nat apply) Operators who are on probation; narge.
If yes, which units are being utilized for probation	ners who do not install an IID (check all that apply):
☐ Transdermal Devices; ☐ Mobile Electronic	Units; Non-Mobile, Home-Based Units.
Please report the number of units:	
<u> </u>	nal discharge operators who do not install an IID (check obile Electronic Units; Non-Mobile, Home-Based
If yes, who is covering the cost of the installation	n of these devices:

If we also describe we adopt the control of the con
If yes, please describe your department's experience with this initiative:
If no, does your department plan to use such devices for persons who do not install an IID in 2019:
in no, does your department plan to use such devices for persons who do not install all lib in 2013.
Youthful Offender Status
Toutiful Officials Status
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to the youth under supervision:
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to
DCJS-OPCA believes that the substantial benefits of Youthful Offender Status are not fully appreciated by youth adjudicated as YO's, and if YO status were properly explained and understood, it might make a difference in future re-offending. Are your department staff reinforcing the benefits of YO Status as part of the initial meeting with YO probationers. If so, please describe the ways in which your department is explaining and/or sharing the benefits of Adjudication as a Youthful Offender status to

DVSI-R (Domestic Violence Screening Instrument – Revised)
Does the department have staff trained to use the DVSI-R?
How many staff members in your department have received DVSI-R training?
Does the department have a certified DVSI-R trainer?
Does the department intend on training all staff on DVSI-R or specialized only?
Implicit Bias Training
What is your the departments need for Implicit Bias Training?

SECTION F: Crime Victim Services

1)	Does your	department have a victim policy?	
2)	supervisio Intimate P offenders	ort the number of Domestic Violence cases are on your n caseloads as of 12/31/2018? (Potential indicators include: artner offender/victim relationship on face sheet of PSI, subject to orders of protection, cases classified as Family cases in which a Domestic Incident Report has been .)	Family Court
	Ü		Criminal Court
3)		department receive Domestic Incident Reports (DIRs) from tement agencies?	
	If "Yes"	a) How many law enforcement agencies provide DIRs?	
		b) Are these provided to your department even if an arrest does not occur as a result of the incident reported in the DIR?	
		c) Within what timeframe (from date of incident to date DIR received), on average, does the department typically receive DIRs?	
	Link to Lav	v Enforcement Agencies NYS by County (Responding to Questio	n 3(a).

http://www.criminaljustice.ny.gov/crimnet/ojsa/agdir/index.html